

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,804	02/11/2002	Hans-Dieter Thieme	GMH/382/US 4377		
2543 7:	590 01/15/2003				
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP			EXAMINER		
750 MAIN STE SUITE 1400			SELF, SHELLEY M		
HARTFORD, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3725			
		DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	<u> </u>				<u> </u>			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/073,804		THIEME, HANS-DIETER				
		Examin r		Art Unit				
		Shelley Se		3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	February 200	02 .					
.,∟ 2a)□	<u> </u>	his action is r						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow			osecution as to the	e merits is			
. ;—	closed in accordance with the practice unde							
•	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application		aid a ration					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	/lastin						
8) (8 Application	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	or election re	quirement.					
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2002 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) I	pe held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have beer	received in Applicati	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached defailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Point Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure State Lept/s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		4) Interview Summar 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					
U.S. Patent and Tra	demark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/073,804

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "35". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With regard to claim 1, the claim fails to positively recite a critical interrelationship between the elements (tool, lock, lock mount, sensor, band, etc...)

With regard to claim 2, it is unclear whether the *lock* is deflected out of a rest position or if the *band* is deflected... Examiner suggests, wherein the *lock* or *band* etc...is deflected out...

With regards to claims 3 and 9, the term "and/or" renders the claims vague and indefinite. It is unclear whether the sensor senses the band, the detent, or both. Additionally

Application/Control Number: 10/073,804

Art Unit: 3725

١

claim 2, clearly states that the detent is sensed by the sensor, however claim 3 states a part which senses the band and/or the detent, this is contradictory and renders the claims indefinite.

With regards to claims 4 and 10, neither "the direction of the sensing movement" nor "the direction in which the lock is to be introduced..." has been positively recited/defined in the claims. It is unclear how such directions relate to the claimed invention.

With regards to claims, 8, 19 and 20, the claims fail to positively recite a critical interrelationship between the blade and the tool arrangement.

In general the claims are replete with antecedent basis concerns and should be reviewed carefully for proper antecedent bases. For example, claim 1, states "the ends" (line 2), "the presence" (line 4), and "the absence" (lines 4 & 5). Claims 4 and 10, state "the direction" (lines 1 & 2) and "the sensing movement (line 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20, as best as can be understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bretti et al. (4,908,911). With regard to claims 1, 3, and 9, Bretti discloses a tool arrangement for binding an object, in particular a cable harness by means of a band (52), the ends of which are guided through a lock (62) for which there is provided in the tool (50) a lock

Application/Control Number: 10/073,804

Art Unit: 3725

mount [Examiner notes a lock mount to be a support, and the apparatus serves as a mount/support for the lock]. Bretti does not disclose a sensor [Examiner notes sensor to be a device that responds to a physical stimulus (i.e. pressure) and transmits a resulting signal/impulse (as for measuring or operating a control)]. Bretti does however disclose a sequence/steps of events for operating the tool wherein various components act as a "sensor" (col. 8, lines 31-68 to col. 9, lines 1-31). Since it has been held that broadly providing an automatic means which accomplishes the same result involves only routine skill in the art.

With regard to claim 2, Bretti discloses at least one detent (74, 76).

With regard to claims 4 and 10 Bretti discloses a direction of the sensing movement of the sensor coinciding with the direction in which the lock is placed in the lock mount.

With regard to claims 5 and 11, Bretti discloses a sensor of multi-step design (138).

With regard to claims 6, 12, 13 and 14, Bretti discloses a lock having a through passage (fig. 3).

With regards to claims 8, 19 and 20, Bretti discloses a blade (86, 152) for cutting and acting as a stop.

With regard to claims 7, 15, 16, 17 and 18, Bretti does not disclose a lock mount having fixing clips for the lock. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide "fixing clips" for mounting the lock to the lock mount. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any of a mechanical equivalents (i.e. clips, snaps, bolts, screws etc...).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,804 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

:

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure Hodosy et al. (5,873,303), O'Sullivan (4,534,817), Countryman (3,489,076), Nirei

(5,208,948) and Schafer et al. (5,471,920).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shelley Self whose telephone number is (703) 305-5299. The

examiner can normally be reached Mon-Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Allen Ostrager can be

reached at (703) 308-3136. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

SSelf

January 9, 2003

ALLEN OSTRAGER

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700